

23 February 2016

Nuclear Fuel Cycle Royal Commission
Via email

Dear Sir / Madam,

RE: TENTATIVE FINDINGS RESPONSE

This correspondence is written in my capacity as a self employed unrestricted Quarry Manager from Western Australian and authorise the following;

I agree with the matured, balanced and structured Tentative Findings¹ generally. Specifically I agree with Tentative Findings 91 to 95 and 133 to 138.

Disagree with findings 142 of creation of new bureaucracy for new activity frameworks. By harnessing and expanding existing state and federal experienced regulators may create less ambiguity, costs and potential regulatory blurred lines and safety risks².

I would humbly ask the Royal Commission to consider the cautionary findings regarding the Varanus Island Incident Investigation. This may provide learning for further guidance for the Commission.

Yours sincerely,

AARON GRAY

¹ See generally, *Nuclear Fuel Cycle Royal Commission Tentative Findings*. Nuclear Fuel Cycle Royal Commission. February 2016.

² Pg. 118-119, *Offshore Petroleum Safety Regulation Varanus Island Incident Investigation*. Government of Western Australia, 2009.